CHARTERED
1915 EYE STREET N.W
EIGHTH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

(202) 833-4422

TELECOPIER (202) 296.7458

RICHARD S. BECKER
JEFFREY E. RUMMEL
OF COUNSEL
JAMES S. FINERFROCK

RECEIVED

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
SIAMAK HARANDI
DEAKIN LAUER

JUL 3 0 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

July 30, 1996

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

William F. Caton, Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

Re: WT Docket No. 96-18

PP Docket No. 93-253/

Dear Mr. Caton:

Transmitted herewith on behalf of TSR Paging Inc. are an original and eleven (11) copies of a "Reply To Comments And Opposition To Emergency Petition For Reconsideration" submitted with respect to the above-referenced proceeding.

Should any questions arise with respect to this matter, please communicate directly with undersigned counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard S. Becker

Attorney for TSR Paging Inc.

Enclosures



# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

JUL 3 0 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

| In the Matter of )                                                                                                   |                      |   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Revision of Part 22 and ) Part 90 of the Commission's ) Rules to Facilitate Future ) Development of Paging Systems ) | WT Docket No. 96-18  | , |
| Implementation of Section ) 309(j) of the Communications ) Act Competitive Bidding )                                 | PP Docket No. 93-253 |   |

To: The Commission

## REPLY TO COMMENTS AND OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION

TSR Paging Inc. ("TPI"), by its attorneys and pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §1.429(g), hereby replies to the "Comments And Opposition To Petitions For Reconsideration Of MobileMedia Communications, Inc." ("MobileMedia Opposition") filed by MobileMedia Communications, Inc. ("MobileMedia") on July 15, 1996. By this Reply, TPI responds to the arguments raised in the MobileMedia Opposition relating to the issues addressed by TPI in the "Emergency Petition For Reconsideration" ("Emergency Petition") filed by TPI on May 6, 1996, with respect to the First Report and Order, WT Docket No. 96-18, PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 96-183 (released April 23, 1996) ("First R&O") in the above-captioned proceeding. By this Reply,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is TPI's understanding that MobileMedia has amended and revised the MobileMedia Opposition to, <u>inter alia</u>, delete MobileMedia's specific allegations regarding TPI. It is the amended and revised MobileMedia Opposition to which TPI responds in the instant Reply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Petitions for reconsideration of the <u>First R&O</u>, including TPI's Emergency Petition, were announced in the Commission's <u>Public Notice</u>, Report No. 2139, released June 25, 1996, and were published in the Federal Register on June 28, 1996, 61 Fed. Reg. 33742 (1996). TPI supplemented its Emergency Petition on May 14 and May

TPI also acknowledges with gratitude the support that the Personal Communications Industry Association ("PCIA") offered for TPI's position in the Emergency Petition as demonstrated in PCIA's July 15, 1996, "Comments Of The Personal Communications Industry Association" ("PCIA Comments") filed in the above-captioned proceeding. In reply, the following is respectfully shown.

1. In its Emergency Petition, TPI demonstrated that the Commission must immediately reconsider the <u>First R&O</u> to make clear that TPI's frequency 929.2125 MHz<sup>3</sup> qualifies as a nationwide exclusive PCP frequency that is exempt from the Modified Freeze<sup>4</sup>

<sup>23, 1996, (</sup>respectively, TPI's "First Supplement" and "Second Supplement") to include in TPI's reconsideration request two Public Notices issued by the Commission pursuant to the <u>First R&O</u>. <u>See</u> note 5, <u>infra</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>TPI demonstrated that TPI is licensed for a nationwide exclusive paging system ("TPI Nationwide System" authorized pursuant to Commission licenses collectively referred to as "TPI Nationwide System Authorization") on Private Carrier Paging ("PCP") channel 929.2125 MHz and that TPI is currently in the process of completing construction of that system pursuant to an extended implementation authorization ("Slow Growth Authorization") granted to TPI by the Commission pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §90.496 in accordance with Commission Letter 7110-162 (December 1, 1995). Emergency Petition, p.3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>In its <u>Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking</u>, WT Docket No. 96-18, PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 96-52 (February 9, 1996) (hereinafter "NPRM") in the above-captioned proceeding, the Commission, <u>inter alia</u>, adopted a freeze ("Freeze") on acceptance of new applications for PCP and common carrier paging ("CCP") channels as of the February 8, 1996, adoption date of the <u>NPRM</u> (the "Adoption Date"). <u>NPRM</u>, ¶139. In its <u>First R&O</u>, the Commission modified the Freeze (the "Modified Freeze") to allow incumbent (<u>i.e.</u>, pre-Adoption Date) CCP and PCP licensees to file applications ("Modified Freeze Applications") for additional CCP and PCP transmission sites if the applicant certifies that the proposed transmission site is within 65 kilometers (40 miles) of an authorized and operating transmission site which was licensed to the same applicant on the same channel as of the Adoption Date. <u>First R&O</u>, ¶26. The Commission also permitted the filing of applications ("New Post-

because it will be excluded from geographic licensing.<sup>5</sup> TPI demonstrated that emergency reconsideration of the <u>First R&O</u> is required because:

- In its <u>First R&O</u>, the Commission improperly failed to consider the arguments raised in TPI's Interim Comments and Interim Reply Comments filed with respect to the Interim Licensing Proposal proposed in the <u>NPRM</u>.
- The failure by the Commission to include TPI's frequency 929.2125 MHz as a nationwide exclusive PCP frequency that is exempt from the Modified Freeze and excluded from geographic licensing is in direct violation of the Commission's own rules and decisions and the previously-articulated Commission purpose for those rules.

Freeze Applications") by new applicants that compete with Modified Freeze Applications within a specified time frame after Public Notice of acceptance of Modified Freeze Applications. <u>Id.</u> The Modified Freeze was further revised by the Commission's <u>Order On Reconsideration Of First Report And Order</u>, WT Docket No. 96-18, PP Docket No. 93-253, FCC 96-260 (June 11, 1996) (hereinafter "Recon. <u>Order</u>"). The revisions adopted in the <u>Recon. Order</u> are not, however, relevant to the issues raised in TPI's Emergency Petition.

<sup>5</sup>Emergency Petition at 7-25. In the NPRM, the Commission made clear that CCP and PCP licensees who have obtained nationwide exclusivity on a paging channel will be permitted to file cochannel applications without regard to the Freeze. NPRM at ¶142. The Commission also promised to release a Public Notice ("PCP Nationwide Exclusive Frequency PN") listing PCP nationwide exclusive frequencies exempt from the Freeze. NPRM at ¶26. First R&O failed to clarify whether TPI's frequency 929.2125 MHz would be considered by the Commission as a nationwide exclusive PCP frequency that is both exempt from the Freeze and excluded from geographic licensing and as of the date of TPI's Emergency Petition, the Commission still had not released the PCP Nationwide Exclusive Frequency PN. <u>Emergency Petition</u> at 6-7. On May 10, 1996, the Commission released the PCP Nationwide Exclusive Frequency PN, which failed to include TPI's frequency 929.2125 MHz. the Commission also released Public Notice, Simultaneously, "Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Establishes Interim Procedures Of Common Carrier And Private Filing Carrier Applications," DA 96-749 (May 10, 1996) ("Interim Procedures PN"), which established interim procedures for filing of CCP and PCP Modified Freeze Applications and New Post-Freeze Applications. In its First and Second Supplements, TPI included the PCP Nationwide Exclusive Frequency PN and the Interim Procedures PN in TPI's reconsideration request.

- The Commission's failure to identify 929.2125 MHz as a nationwide exclusive PCP frequency exempt from the Modified Freeze and excluded from geographic licensing constituted a modification of the TPI unilateral Nationwide of Authorization, violated Section which 316 "Act"), Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the fundamental principles of due process and the overriding interest in rapid licensing and deployment of nationwide paging systems.
- By failing to address TPI's frequency 929.2125 MHz in the <u>First R&O</u>, the Commission also impermissibly treated TPI in a substantially different manner than other, similarly-situated licensees of nationwide exclusive CCP and PCP channels.

Emergency Petition at 7-25; First Supplement, p.1-7; Second Supplement, p.1-9.

- 2. Various parties filed comments and/or oppositions in response to the petitions for reconsideration filed by TPI and others with respect to the <u>First R&O</u>.<sup>6</sup> By this Reply, TPI addresses two of those filings.
- 3. First, in the PCIA Comments, PCIA specifically supported the position taken by TPI in the Emergency Petition. PCIA stated that:

[C]arriers have made substantial investment and planning decisions in reliance on the Commission's nationwide exclusivity rules. Now, despite having applied for exclusivity, despite nationwide having proposed construction necessary to satisfy the nationwide exclusivity thresholds, despite having been frequency coordinated on a nationwide basis, and despite having made significant, timely efforts under those construction permits, the [First R&O] appears to abruptly terminate any pending exclusivity rights. Even worse, by proposing to auction the "whitespace" surrounding these systems, the [First R&O] exposes these carriers to greenmail applications and threatens legitimate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Aside from PCIA and MobileMedia, comments were filed by Motorola, Inc. ("Motorola"), AirTouch Paging ("AirTouch"), Arch Communications Group, Inc. ("Arch") and ProNet, Inc. ("ProNet").

expectations. PCIA, and others, therefore urge the Commission to clarify or reconsider its <u>First R&O</u>, and grant nationwide exclusivity to those carriers who are otherwise in compliance with the rules and that, on February 8, 1996, either: (i) satisfied the construction thresholds to obtain exclusivity <u>or</u> (ii) had outstanding construction permits that, if completed in a timely manner, would satisfy the nationwide exclusivity thresholds.

PCIA Comments, p.6-7 (emphasis original; footnote
omitted).

As demonstrated in TPI's Emergency Petition, based on TPI's Nationwide System Authorization and Slow Growth Authorization, coupled with the substantial progress that TPI has already made in completing construction of the TPI Nationwide System on 929.2125 MHz, TPI is exactly the type of carrier that is being so terribly aggrieved by the Commission's failure to include 929.2125 MHz in the <u>First R&O</u> and the PCP Nationwide Exclusive Frequency PN as a nationwide exclusive PCP frequency that is exempt from the Modified Freeze because it will be excluded from geographic licensing. TPI thanks PCIA for PCIA's recognition of the validity and importance of the issues raised in TPI's Emergency Petition.<sup>7</sup>

### 4. MobileMedia, on the other hand, stated that:

It would be bad public policy to allow applicants who have, in some cases, done nothing but file applications, and, in any event, have failed to substantially complete construction requirements for a nationwide license to have their frequencies treated as nationwide exclusive frequencies that are exempt from the [Modified Freeze] and that will be excluded from geographic licensing.

 $<sup>^7</sup>$ It should be noted that AirTouch also supported TPI's position in the July 15, 1996, "Comments Of AirTouch Paging," p.3-4. Neither Motorola, Arch nor ProNet, the other entities that filed comments and/or oppositions with respect to petitions for reconsideration of the <u>First R&O</u>, addressed the nationwide exclusivity issue raised by TPI in its Emergency Petition.

#### MobileMedia Opposition, p.3.

In response to MobileMedia's position, TPI must emphasize that MobileMedia's concerns regarding "applicants who have ... done nothing but file applications, and in any event, have failed to substantial complete construction requirements" do not apply to First, TPI is not an "applicant" for a nationwide system --TPI is the licensee of a nationwide exclusive system on the frequency 929.2125 MHz. As demonstrated in TPI's Emergency Petition, upon grant of the TPI Nationwide System Authorization that had been coordinated by PCIA as a nationwide exclusive PCP system pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §90.495(a)(3), TPI was granted nationwide exclusivity on the frequency 929.2125 MHz "at the time of initial licensing."8 This exclusivity authorization included not only the right to construct and operate the transmitters identified in the TPI Nationwide System Authorization, but also the right for a specified period of time to install 929.2125 MHz transmitters throughout the United States without any co-channel authorizations being granted to any other applicant. Moreover, based on the Commission's December 1, 1995, grant of TPI's Slow Growth Authorization, TPI's nationwide exclusivity was extended "for the duration of the construction period" and TPI still has a significant amount of time left to compete construction of the TPI Nationwide System pursuant to TPI's Slow Growth Authorization. 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>47 C.F.R. §90 495(c); <u>Emergency Petition</u> at 12-16.

<sup>947</sup> C.F.R. §§90.495(b), (b)(3); Emergency Petition at 12-16.

<sup>1047</sup> C.F.R. §90.496(d); Emergency Petition at 12-16.

Accordingly, TPI cannot be considered an "applicant" for the TPI Nationwide System Authorization.

- Second, TPI has substantially completed construction of the TPI Nationwide System on 929.2125 MHz. As demonstrated in periodic progress reports submitted by TPI to the Commission in connection with TPI's Slow Growth Authorization, TPI continues to vastly exceed the construction schedule authorized in the Slow Growth Authorization. In point of fact, in its most recent April 23, 1996, progress report, TPI reported to the Commission that as a result of TPI's extraordinary construction efforts, of the transmitters authorized in the 202 Slow Authorization ("Slow Growth Transmitters"), only 94 Slow Growth Transmitters remain to be constructed. TPI will shortly file a new progress report with the Commission that will demonstrate construction of additional transmitters bringing the remaining number of Slow Growth Transmitters down to or below 71.
- 7. Moreover, TPI has extended its construction efforts far beyond a mere completion of the remaining Slow Growth Transmitters. For example: (1) TPI has already completed and is currently operating a total of more than 350 929.2125 MHz transmitter sites throughout the United States; (2) TPI holds authorizations for more than 150 additional 929.2125 MHz transmitter sites above and beyond the Slow Growth Transmitters and TPI intends to install these transmitters in the immediate future; and (3) TPI is prosecuting before PCIA and the Commission applications for a substantial number of additional 929.2125 MHz transmitter sites that TPI

intends to construct as soon as authorization is received. In short, TPI has, both as of the February 8, 1996, Adoption Date of the NPRM and as of the date of this Reply, substantially completed construction of the TPI Nationwide System.

For these reasons, TPI hereby responds to the MobileMedia Opposition. Even under the more restrictive "substantially complete" standard suggested by MobileMedia, based on TPI's status as the holder of the TPI Nationwide System Authorization and the Slow Growth Authorization, and as a result of TPI's extraordinary construction efforts, TPI's nationwide exclusive frequency 929.2125 MHz qualifies as a nationwide exclusive PCP frequency that should be exempt from the Modified Freeze because it will be excluded from geographic licensing. TPI is simply not one of the "applicants" in the MobileMedia Opposition, who opportunity to construct; having failed to build out their networks to achieve nationwide licenses, they should now compete for licenses in whatever licensing scheme the Commission may adopted in this proceeding."11

<sup>11</sup> Mobile Media Opposition at 3-4.

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reason, TPI hereby: (1) supports the PCIA Comments in that they directly confirm TPI's arguments in its Emergency Petition; and (2) responds to the amended and revised the MobileMedia Opposition.

Respectfully submitted,

TSR PAGING INC.

y:

Richard S. Becker James S. Finerfrock Jeffrey E. Rummel

Its Attorneys

Richard S. Becker & Associates, Chartered 1915 Eye Street, NW; Eighth Floor Washington, DC 20006 (202) 833-4422

Date: July 30, 1996

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jeffrey E. Rummel, an attorney in the law firm of Richard S. Becker & Associates, Chartered, hereby certify that I have on this 30th day of July, 1996, caused to be sent via First Class United States mail, postage prepaid, copies of the foregoing "REPLY TO COMMENTS AND OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION" to the following:

Reed E. Hundt, Chairman\*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW; Room 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ms. Jackie Chorney\*
Legal Assistant to Chairman Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 838G
Washington, DC 20554

James H. Quello, Commissioner\*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW; Room 802
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Rudolfo M. Baca\*
Legal Advisor to Commissioner Quello
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 802
Washington, DC 20554

Rachelle B. Chong, Commissioner\* Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW; Room 844 Washington, D.C. 20554

Ms. Susan Toller\*
Legal Advisor to Commissioner Chong
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 844
Washington, DC 20554

<sup>\*</sup> Hand delivered

Susan Ness, Commissioner\*
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW; Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. David Siddall\*
Legal Advisor to Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Suite 832
Washington, DC 20554

William E. Kennard, General Counsel\* Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW; Room 614 Washington, DC 20554

Michele C. Farquhar, Chief\*
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW; Room 5002
Washington, DC 20554

Gerald P. Vaughan, Deputy Chief\*
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 5002
Washington, DC 20554

Rosalind K. Allen, Associate Bureau Chief\* Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 5002 Washington, DC 20554

David Furth, Chief\*
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW; Room 7002
Washington, DC 20554

Sandra K. Danner, Chief\*
Legal Branch, Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW; Room 7002
Washington, DC 20554

<sup>\*</sup> Hand delivered

Mika Savir, Attorney\*
Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, NW; Room 7002
Washington, DC 20554

Terry L. Fishel, Chief\*\*
Land Mobile Branch, Licensing Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, PA 17325-7245

Michael Regiec, Deputy Chief\*\*
Land Mobile Branch, Licensing Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, PA 17325-7245

Gary Devlin, Technical Engineer\*\*
Land Mobile Branch, Licensing Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, PA 17325-7245

Timothy E. Welch, Esquire Hill & Welch 1330 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.; Suite 113 Washington, DC 20036

Henry A. Solomon, Esquire
Melodie A. Virtue, Esquire
Amelia L. Brown, Esquire
Haley Bader & Potts
4350 North Fairfax Drive; Suite 900
Arlington, VA 22303-1633

Caressa D. Bennet, Esquire Michael R. Bennet, Esquire Bennet & Bennet 1831 Ontario Place, NW; Suite 200 Washington, DC 20009

<sup>\*</sup> Hand delivered

<sup>\*\*</sup> Via United Parcel Service overnight courier

George Y. Wheeler, Esquire Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Thomas Gutierrez, Esq.
J. Justin McClure, Esq.
David L. Nace, Esquire
George L. Lyon, Jr., Esquire
Pamela L. Gist, Esquire
Pamela Gaary, Esquire
Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esquire
Terry J. Romine, Esquire
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 19th Street, N.W.; 12th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Harold Mordkofsky, Esquire
John A. Prendergast, Esquire
Richard D. Rubino, Esquire
Blooston, Modkofsy, Jackson & Dickens
2120 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. Dennis L. Myers Ameritech Mobile Services, Inc. 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Location 3H78 Hoffman Estates, IL 60195-5000

Laura H. Phillips, Esquire Christina H. Burrow, Esquire Dow, Lohnes & Albertson 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.; Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Larry Shaefer SMR Systems, Inc. 4212 Mt. Vernon Houston, TX 77006

Ellen S. Mandell, Esquire Pepper & Corazzini 200 Montgomery Building 1776 K Street, N.W.; Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006

Phillip L. Spector, Esquire Thomas A. Boasberg, Esquire Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 David L. Hill, Esquire Audrey P. Rasmussen, Esquire O'Connor & Hannan 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.; Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006

Katherine M. Holden, Esquire Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Ms. Lucille M. Mates 140 Montgomery Street; Room 1526 San Francisco, CA 94105

Ms. Margaret E. Garber 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004

Kenneth E. Hardman, Esquire Moir & Hardman 200 L Street, N.W.; Suite 512 Washington, DC 20036

Ms. Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, NW; Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Joe D. Edge, Esquire Tina M. Pidgeon, Esquire Drinker Biddle & Reath 901 15th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Robert H. Schwaninger, Jr., Esquire Brown & Schwaninger 1835 K Street, N.W.; Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006

Veronica M. Ahern, Esquire Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle One Thomas Circle Washington, DC 20005

David Jatlow, Esquire Young & Jatlow 2300 N Street, N.W.; Suite 600 Washington, DC 20037 Carl W. Northrop, Esquire Christine M. Crowe, Esquire Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.; Tenth Floor Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Mark J. Golden
Personal Communications
Industry Association
500 Montgomery Street; Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314

Judith St. Ledger-Roty, Esquire Stefan M. Lopatkiewicz, Esquire Paul G. Madison, Esquire Reed Smith Shaw McClay 1301 K Street, N.W.; Suite 1100-East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Jill Ableshouse Stern, Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Jerome K. Blask, Esquire
Daniel E. Smith, Esquire
Gurman, Blask & Freedman
1400 Sixteenth Street, N.W.; Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Dallas Vanderhoof TeleBEEPER of New Mexico, Inc. P.O. Box 25161 Albuquerque, NM 87125

Lawrence M. Miller, Esquire Schwartz, Woods & Miller 1350 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.; Suite 300 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Robert R. Rule Rule Radiophone Service, Inc. 2232 Dell Range Boulevard Cheyenne, Wyoming 82009

Frederick M. Joyce, Esquire Christine McLaughlin, Esquire Joyce & Jacobs 1019 19th Street, N.W.; 14th Floor, PH-2 Washington, DC 20036 Mr. William Ciuffo Mr. John Roussos Mr. John Sieber Comp Comm, Inc. One Echelon Plaza; Suite 100 227 Laurel Road Voorhees, NJ 08043

William J. Franklin, Esquire 1200 G Street, N.W.; Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005

Mr. John L. Crump ACE Communications 11403 Waples Mill Road Post Office Box 3070 Oakton, VA 22124

Ms. Mary McDermott
Ms. Linda Kent
Mr. Charles D. Cosson
U.S. Telephone Association
1401 H Street, N.W.; Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

A. Thomas Carroccio, Esquire Bell, Boyd & Lloyd 1615 L Street, N.W.; Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

William L. Fishman, Esquire Sullivan & Worcester, LLP 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.; Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

Kevin C. Boyle, Esquire Donald A. Fishman, Esquire Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.; Suite 1300 Washington, DC 20004-2505

Gene P. Belardi, Vice President MobileMedia Communications, Inc. 2101 Wilson Boulevard; Suite 935 Arlington, VA 22201